UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,417	05/23/2005	Bernd Rumpf	502901-331 PUS	9928
	7590 01/05/2009 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP		EXAMINER	
551 FIFTH AVENUE			HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,417	RUMPF, BERND	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edwin C. Holloway, III	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 C</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/511,417 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-20-2008 has been entered. Claims 1-6 are pending. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant's arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

Information Disclosure Statement

2. One of the foreign reference in the information disclosure statement filed 12-11-2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because the IDS does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of this listed patent that is not in the English language. The document has been lined thru in the list and has not been considered.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the reference numerals (14,18) do not match the drawings. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The references to the claims on page 2 of the specification are inappropriate because the claims may be amended to no longer correspond. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,417 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mutoh '414 (US 5703414).

Regarding claim 1, Mutoh '414 discloses an apparatus arranged for providing drive-off security in a motor vehicle environment through blocking one or more vehicle engine system subfunctions said apparatus comprising:

a detection circuit (theft detector 10a) detecting an unauthorized system activation, and an on-off control circuit (engine control unit ECU 16) pertaining to and controlling a fuel pump arrangement functionality means (fuel pump 18), said on-off control circuit being configured to electronically start the fuel pump arrangement at an attempted starting of the motor vehicle and being triggered to electronically switch off the fuel pump after the attempted starting when said detecting circuit detects that the attempted starting was unauthorized. See fig. 1, the abstract, col. 3 line 41 - col. 4 line 25 and col. 4 line 66 - col. 5 line 34. The ECU is a electronic control circuit that controls on-off (enable/halt) of various vehicle sections, such as fuel pump, via electrical signals/commands. Mutoh '414 eliminates delay in engine start by allowing the engine (including fuel pump) to start in response to attempted starting (switch-ON operation) and switches off (halts) the fuel pump "after" attempted starting when the detection circuit (10a) detects the attempt was unauthorized (col. 2 line 28 - col. 3 line 7).

Regarding claim 4, Mutoh '414 discloses a drive-off security electronic circuit (transmitter 4 in key 2 of fig. 6) wherein said detection circuit determines whether a pre-

established code word (ID code) is received from the drive-off security electronic circuit, said on-off control circuit being triggered to electronically switch off the fuel pump if no code word or an erroneous code word is received (halt control if ID does not match in col. 3 line 49 - col. 4 line 25). Alternatively, the theft detector 10a may be considered a drive-off security electronic

circuit and the immobilization determining section 16b may be considered a detection circuit that

provides an instruction to halt operation if it does not receive an enable code or receives a theft

code.

Regarding claim 5, Mutoh '414 discloses said drive-off security circuit further encompasses at least one of start means inhibition (start relay 15), spark means inhibition (ignition control unit 20), and fuel injection means inhibition facilities (fuel injection valve 17) in col. 3 line 49 - col. 4 line 25).

Claim 6 is directed the limitations of apparatus claim 1 being included in a motor vehicle that is anticipated by Mutoh '414 disclosing a vehicle such as a car in col. 1 lines 19-33.

7. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mutoh '414 (US 5703414) as applied above in combination with Gilmore (US 6144112).

Mutoh '414 does not expressly disclose the physical position of the apparatus of claims 2 and 3.

Gilmore discloses a drive-off security (immobilization) apparatus with a control on-off circuit (fuel pump control unit) housed integrally within the fuel pump to make it more difficult for a thief to break into a communication link or control lines between the control unit and the pump. See the abstract, col. 2 lines 59-67, col. 4 lined 8-14 and fig. 1. This corresponds to said

on-off control circuit physically arranged in the immediate vicinity of a fuel tank of the motor vehicle in claim 2. This correspond to said on-off control circuit is physically integrated with one of a fuel tank or a fuel pump element of the motor vehicle of claim 3.

Regarding claims 2-3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included in Mutoh '414 the physical position of the apparatus of claims 2-3 disclosed in Gilmore in order to make it more difficult for a thief to break into an communication link or control lines between the control unit and the pump.

8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mutoh '414 (US 5703414) as applied above in combination with Woodall (US 5600723).

Mutoh '414 does not expressly disclose the physical position of the apparatus of claims 2 and 3.

Woodall discloses a drive-off security (secure electronic fuel pump) apparatus with a control on-off circuit (fuel pump driving circuit 29 and decode circuit 25) housed integrally within the fuel pump to make an attempt to circumvent the security system so difficult and time consuming that a thief is effectively prevented from obtaining access to the circuitry. See fig. 1, the abstract and col. 4 lines 1-5. This corresponds to said on-off control circuit physically arranged in the immediate vicinity of a fuel tank of the motor vehicle in claim 2. This correspond to said on-off control circuit is physically integrated with one of a fuel tank or a fuel pump element of the motor vehicle of claim 3. The fuel pump is disabled if a proper code is not received in col. 2 lines 22-41. Although switch off "after" an attempt is not expressly stated, it is suggested by the periodic recycling in col. 3 lines 51-61 wherein a thief may initially guess the code, then the code is changed and the pump is turned off.

Regarding claims 2-3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included in Mutoh '414 the physical position of the apparatus of claims 2-3 disclosed in Woodall in order to make an attempt to circumvent the security system so difficult and time consuming that a thief is effectively prevented from obtaining access to the circuitry.

Alternatively, regarding claims 1-6, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included in Woodall the switch off "after" an attempt as disclosed in Mutoh '414 in order to eliminates delay in engine start.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Garretto (US 4991683) discloses an antitheft vehicle system that disables an electric fuel pump or a fuel shut off valve. Stadler (US 5172049) discloses a vehicle antitheft system that initially switches ON the fuel pump and switches OFF the pump after a time expires if proper input is not detected. Perry (US 6481404) discloses a vehicle starting method that compares security codes while priming the fuel pump, resulting in minimal delay time.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 filed 10-2-2008 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Zimmerman, can be reached on (571) 272-3059.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,417 Page 7

Art Unit: 2612

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/5/2009 (571) 272-3058 /Edwin C. Holloway, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612